Open Letter from Jackson County Missouri Criminal Defense Attorneys, Regarding Newly Instituted Security Procedures at the Jackson County Detention Center

June 6, 2019

To:

Daryl Forté, Jackson County Sheriff
Frank White, Jr., Jackson County Executive
Bryan Covinsky, Jackson County Counselor
Jean Peters-Baker, Jackson County Prosecuting Attorney
Diana Turner, Jackson County Corrections Department Director
Jackson County Legislature

As members of an online platform comprised of over 240 criminal defense practitioners throughout the Kansas City Metropolitan Area on both sides of the state line, we would like to express our consensus view as follows:

Sometime in May of 2019, without announcement, the Jackson County Detention Center instituted a TSA airport-type high-security screening procedure for attorneys and staff upon entering the secured zone of the jail. The new rule requires all persons, including attorneys seeking a contact visit with a client (the usual and only meaningful type of visit), to place shoes, belts, briefcases, and contents of pockets into bins for x-ray scanning. We do not believe this is an unreasonable procedure.

All persons must then walk through a metal detector. If the metal detector is set off, he or she will be denied entrance. Bras with metal clasps or underwire support (most every bra) set these detectors off. Women are then required to either remove their bras or be denied entrance. For female attorneys, that means the choice is to remove their bras or not see their clients. The net effect of these newly implemented measures is that female attorneys are being denied meaningful access to their clients in violation of their clients' constitutional right to counsel.

The Jackson County Detention Center opened in 1984. Other than the St. Louis County Detention Center, it is the largest pretrial detention facility in the state and houses the largest number of pretrial detainees in the State of Missouri. As Jackson County's website acknowledges, it is not a prison but a pretrial detention facility, where "the vast majority of inmates confined at the JCDC [are] involved in the trial process and, therefore, presumed innocent." Obviously, access to attorneys by those awaiting trial is critical to the justice system and is a right guaranteed to all of us by the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

Until May of 2019, like the vast majority other pretrial detention facilities in the area, JCDC had sensible rules for allowing attorneys access to their clients. For over 30 years the JCDC set the example statewide for efficient, safe, and meaningful attorney-client access at a holding facility. We are unaware of any documented incident of abuse of these attorney-client visitation procedures by attorneys or clients. Despite jail overcrowding, despite the failing infrastructure of the jail and court facilities, despite overworked courts and public defenders (who by default represent most of the detainees), and lack of funding to address any of these issues, this attorney-client visitation efficiency remained. This was mostly due to the sensible and proven procedures that had been in place for 30 plus years.

The screening procedure, as *implemented*, is unreasonable and unnecessary. For over 30 years, there were absolutely no security restrictions on attorneys meeting with clients in Jackson County. Despite that, there are apparently no documented incidents of attorneys abusing this system. The new rule is also seen as unnecessary by every other pretrial detention facility in Missouri and Kansas of which we are aware. We could not find a single holding facility using a similar procedure. There are similar procedures for passing through a metal detector in place, for example, at CoreCivic Leavenworth (formerly CCA), or at any Federal courthouse, which can be set off by underwire in an undergarment. In those instances, however, if an attorney sets off the metal detector because of the underwire in a bra, the security officer uses a hand-held wand detector to confirm that fact, and the attorney is allowed entrance. Female attorneys are not turned away and are not told they may not wear the offending undergarment at any other pretrial detention facility we could locate except JCDC.

We are not security experts. We are attorneys. We recognize that security measures at some level may be required at the JCDC, including the screening of attorneys and restrictions on objects brought in to the visit. But these screening procedures cannot be at the expense of meaningful access to clients without an apparent and justifiable reason. The balancing of interests is clear. Security can be assured and this issue can be avoided by simply following the rules that are in place at other pretrial holding facilities in the area, which have been able to effectively protect the safety of all involved while not discriminating against those who are trying to seek entrance to perform their duties. The male defense attorneys in our group see our female counterparts as equals and feel this security protocol singles them out for disparate treatment and does not afford them the dignity and respect they deserve. It is undeniable that many female members of our group feel humiliated and angry at the prospect of having to get their undergarments cleared before being allowed to do their jobs.

As officers of the court who are sworn to uphold the law, we feel that we can be trusted to respect the integrity of the security of your facility and the rule of law, as we have proven for over 30 years. We demand that you honor that trust.

Respectfully,

Denise Kirby, Attorney Tracy Spradlin, Attorney Carie Allen, Assistant Federal Defender; Western District of Missouri, Attorney Tamara Putman, Attorney Caitlin Stephenson Porto, Attorney Megan Roth, Attorney Jessica Ross, Attorney Traci Fann, Attorney Lindsey Phoenix, Attorney Jill Marie Worstell, Attorney John Anthony Picerno, Attorney Justin Johnson, Attorney Clayton Gillette, Attorney Craig Divine, Attorney Denise Carter, Attorney Tom Porto, Attorney Susan Dill, Attorney Dave Suroff, Attorney, Nicole Forsythe, Attorney Mark Ellebracht, Attorney Joshuah Peter, Attorney Jill Turner, Attorney Matthew Merryman, Attorney Garrett Solomon, Attorney Christopher Benjamin, Attorney Monica Tanzey, Attorney Holly Griffith, Attorney Steve Willibey, Attorney Sean LaJaunie, Attorney Megan Harrington, Attorney Tom Bailey, Attorney Al Smith, Attorney Chris Scott, Attorney Shannon Peterson, Attorney

Endorsing Attorneys Continued.

Scott Hamilton, Past President MACDL and Attorney

Angela Fry, Attorney

Vanessa Starke, Attorney

Darrion Walker, Attorney

Laura O'Sullivan, Attorney

Gina Simone, Attorney

Rick Johnson, Attorney

Theresa Lininger, Attorney

Matthew Guilfoil, Attorney

Eric Vernon, Attorney

Jessica Ross, Attorney

Matthew M. Peters, Attorney

Tiffany Danielle, Attorney

Andrew Talge, Attorney

Phillip Smith, Attorney

Ashley Repp, Attorney

Michael Belancio, Attorney

Dan Kennedy, Attorney

Jon Bailey, Attorney

Matthew Rose, Attorney

Jarrett Johnson, Attorney

Christine Sirridge, Attorney,

Aimee Gromowski, Attorney

Douglas Smith, Attorney

Allison Kort, Attorney

Kirby Crick, Attorney

Stephanie Lopez, Attorney

Angela Hasty, Attorney

Walter Stokely, Attorney

Gara Feldman-Gary, Attorney

Christa Yarnevich, Attorney

Devon Pasley, Attorney

Sara Hofeditz Christensen, Attorney

Nate Anderson, Attorney

Kristen Buzzelli, Attorney

Jason Kotlyarov, Attorney

Chad Gardner, Attorney

Billie Coop, Attorney

Carl Bussey, Attorney

Daniel Ross, Group Administrator and Attorney