(In-chambers conference.)

11

THE COURT: All right.

12

Juror Number 16, alternate juror

Number 4 has indicated that she will no

13 14

longer agree to serve on this jury due to

15

the media's involvement and threat of

16

releasing information about these jurors'

17

personal information. Regardless of the

18

fact that some of the media has withdrawn

19

their request, there is still a pending

20

request from WCPO for the completed juror

21

questionnaires. And this jury does not

22

want their information or their

24

23

questionnaires released during or after this trial. So she has refused to

24

continue serving. I am going to release

25

her.

Does the State have anything to say on that?

MR. DETERS: No objection.

THE COURT: Very good.

The defense.

MR. MATHEWS: Judge, I have nothing to say with reference to alternate Number 4. But I would move for mistrial on the basis that in light of what occurred last Friday wherein you came out onto the bench, read a statement about what the Enquirer was up to and put on the record in front of the jury what you intended to do. And as I -- I was shocked by what I heard the Enquirer was up to. I think the jury was horrified when you notified them of what was going on. And in observing their demeanor, I became very concerned.

Rather than take any break after your statement to them, we proceeded with two witnesses. We took a break at about 11:20, and during the break your bailiff, Tim, indicated to us that the jury was

\_ \_

basically in revolt and very upset about what had occurred.

We learned that the questionnaires -- the redacted questionnaires had been posted to -- on the Clerk's website for approximately one hour 40 minutes, if my memory is correct. You had those removed during that break.

The jury was furnished with copies of the redacted questionnaires. They continued to object to the information that -- some of them apparently continued to be bothered by information that was not redacted from the questionnaires.

And at that point it was determined -- or at some point Friday it was determined to send them home for the weekend to consider whether or not they wish to continue serving on this jury.

It concerns me greatly that the jury may not have heard or paid any attention to the testimony and evidence that was elicited for the reason that they were more concerned about the issue

of their personal identifying information being made available to the press. And for that reason and reasons that after seeing their faces when you announced that the information would be posted on the website, concerns me about their ability to be fair and impartial and unbiased.

Further, they went home for the weekend and presumably have discussed the issue with families, or whatever, and somehow they have made the decision or thought about the decision. And it concerns me that they're more concerned with their safety or with their information -- identifying information being made public to the point where our client is going to receive -- cannot receive a fair trial.

THE COURT: Very good.

On Friday, I did notify the jury about these pending requests for their questionnaires. It is my duty to fully inform them. It was upon agreement by both parties that I go and speak with

•

them on the break because they did express concern and outrage to my bailiff. I did that. And after a discussion with them, at that point most agreed that they would continue service. Some asked for the weekend to think the matter over.

I allowed them the weekend to think the matter over, and as a result only one of those who had requested thinking the matter over has refused to continue serving.

There is nothing that would indicate either during my conversation with them or anything that they have said to my bailiff that would indicate that they did not pay attention to the testimony on Friday morning. I think that this jury has been so conscientious they certainly would have said that they were distracted. If nothing else, it would have given them the opportunity, frankly, to be removed from this jury. And there has been no indication of that whatsoever. They want to continue

serving.

What has been brought about by the media inserting itself into this trial does not rise to the level of a mistrial.

And so your motion is overruled.

MR. MATHEWS: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. Now, I will, for the record, be putting on this morning the fact that we have lost one juror as a result of the media's insertion.

MR. PIEPMEIER: Okay. Thank you.

(In-chambers conference concluded.)

THE COURT: All rise.

(The jurors entered at 9:18 a.m.)

THE COURT: You may be seated.

Were there any problems over the weekend? Could you simply not resist the urge to discuss the case with anyone or among yourselves? Did you inadvertently or intentionally receive any information from the media regarding this case in any form, whether that's online, social

posting, anything like that?

THE JURORS: No.

THE COURT: Very good.

Before we resume testimony this morning, I have to put on the record in the presence of the jury there have been several inaccurate news media reports about what happened Friday during the court recess.

I will not waste the time of everyone here today to correct them.

However, I will commend this jury for its courage and sense of civic duty for doing the job they were selected to do.

Jury duty is a thankless job. And jury duty in a high-profile case that is being covered by the news media on a daily basis is even more difficult.

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I want you to be able to do your job in this trial with the least amount of distraction possible. And I want you to feel safe.

While the original request was withdrawn, there is one outstanding

request by WCPO for redacted juror questionnaires. As a result of the media's requests during the course of this trial, we have lost a juror.

Alternate Juror Number 4 has opted to remove herself from service due to this distraction. I have decided to amend my previous order and direct the court administrator to not release questionnaires, redacted or not, during this trial.

I will instruct and have already instructed the lawyer representing the Court to research and recommend to me ways to provide the fullest protection to you and your personal information even when this trial is over.

Let's get back to the important work we were called to do here today.

And I want to thank you again.

Also on behalf of the parties, both the State of Ohio and the defense, they feel the exact same. They've relayed to me that they are so thankful for you agreeing to come back here and serve